ALABAMA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
			FY 2006	FY 2006	_
Congress'l	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006
District Park Units	Enacted	Enacted	Changes	Changes	Estimate
03 Horseshoe Bend NMP	665	681	20	0	701
04 Little River Canyon NPres	929	1,001	18	0	1,019
05 Natchez Trace NST	26	27	0	0	27
05 Natchez Trace Parkway, Brices Cross Roads,					
Tupelo NB ¹	9,757	9,987	221	0	10,208
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ²	0	483	0	0	483
Total Natchez Trace Parkway et al	[9,757]	[10,470]	[221]	[0]	[10,691]
05 Russell Cave NM	358	369	6	0	375
03 Tuskegee Airmen NHS	307	452	7	0	459
03 Tuskegee Institute NHS	727	745	16	0	761
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ²	0	208	0	0	208
03 Total Tuskegee Institute NHS	[727]	[953]	[16]	[0]	[969]

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

¹ Only Natchez Trace Parkway is in Alabama. All three park units are administered jointly.

² These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

ALABAMA

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

ALABAMA

Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

			(dollars in th	ousands)	
			FY 2006	FY 2006	_
	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006
Trails and Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request
Selma to Montgomery NHT	256	262	7	0	269
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase ¹	0	35	0	0	35
Total Selma to Montgomery NHT	[256]	[297]	[7]	[0]	[304]
Trail of Tears NHT	296	304	0	0	304
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase ¹	0	29	0	0	29
Total Trail of Tears NHT	[296]	[333]	[0]	[0]	[333]

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

ALABAMA (SER) FY 2006 Proposed Program

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Park AreaType of ProjectLittle River Canyon NPOngoing ProjectSelma to Montgomery NHTOngoing ProjectTuskegee Airmen NHSOngoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

Study AreaType of ProjectMuscle Shoals HeritageOngoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

Park AreaProject TitleFundsTuskegee Airmen NHSPreserve and Rehabilitate Moton Airfield Site\$6,767

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$622

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Rar	nking:	675
Planned Funding	FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction	

Project Identification

Project Title: Preserve Moton Airfield Site

Project No: Unit/Facility Name: Tuskegee Airmen National Historic Site

070714

Region: Congressional District: 03

Southeast

Southeast

Project Justification

FCI-Before: 0.24 FCI-Projected: 0.16 API: 29

Project Description: This project would provide the initial rehabilitation and facility construction for the Tuskegee Airmen National Historic Site at Moton Field in Tuskegee, Alabama, and includes construction of a visitor parking area for cars and buses and pedestrian walkways and trails to provide for visitor access and circulation; preservation and rehabilitation of historic buildings and support structures, the historic entrance gate, historic tarmac, and the historic scene of the World War II era flight training complex including removal of non-historic buildings, erection of "ghost structures" on the site of former historic buildings, as well as architectural and cultural landscape features of the complex; production of interpretive exhibits including historical markers, wayside and museum exhibits; and construction of site facilities including lighting, utilities, and drainage. Preliminary site development and utility work was begun with funding provided in fiscal year 2005.

The full cost of this project will not be known until a capital plan and value analyses have been completed. The reviews will examine various options, including the removal of low-priority structures that are in poor conditions and are not essential to understanding the site.

Project Need/Benefit: Tuskegee Airmen National Historic Site is a new unit of the National Park System. Although visitors are already coming to the site, limited facilities currently exist to serve their needs and access to the historic site is prohibited for visitor safety and resource protection. While emergency stabilization work funded in fiscal year 2002 has been completed on the historic buildings, it provided only a temporary action to stall deterioration. Other historic resources of the site, including the historic entrance gate, tarmac, and cultural landscape, will also continue to deteriorate until preservation and rehabilitation treatment is applied. Preservation and rehabilitation work is needed to protect and preserve historic fabric of these primary park resources. Onsite drainage problems also contribute to deterioration of the site's cultural resources and must be addressed as soon as possible. Without basic visitor facilities, visitors will continue to be unable to access the site and their ability to understand or appreciate the significance of the Tuskegee Airmen will be limited

The buildings and grounds harbor a variety of health and safety hazards. All employees entering the historic structures and complex grounds for necessary work are at potential risk. Without the project, these risks would continue and increase as the buildings and grounds deteriorate. These risks include potential injury due to falling debris, exposure to airborne biohazards from pigeon dung, and fungal growth and hantavirus, and exposure to poisonous snakes and poisonous insects. Buildings are not ADA-accessible, and the existing conditions of these resources range from poor to very poor. Drainage problems and other unsafe conditions will constrain visitor use to building exteriors and limited portions of the site. Completion of this project will substantially eliminate the backlog of deferred maintenance for this site. The restored and rehabilitated structures will be upgraded to meet all health and safety codes required while returning them to their historic appearance and integrity.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

- 20 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance 0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
- 25 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement 0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
- 45 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance 10 % Other Capital Improvement

0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: Total Project Score: 675

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost Estimate:	\$'s %	Project Funding History:			
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$5,689,450	65 Appropriated to Date:	\$	1,986,000	
Capital Improvement Work:	\$3,063,550	35 Requested in FY 2006 Budget:	\$	6,767,000	
Total Component Estimate:	\$8,753,000	100 Required to Complete Project:	\$	TBD	
Class of Estimate: B		Project Total:	\$	8,753,000	
Estimate Good Until: 09/30/06	3				
Dates: Sch'd	d (qtr/fy)	Project Data Sheet			Unchanged Since
Construction Start/Award 1/2	2006	Prepared/Last Updated: 1/20/20	05		Departmental Approval:
Project Complete: 3/2	2007				YES: NO: X

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	0	Projected:	\$ 454,000	Net Change: \$ 454,000

FLORIDA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dolla	rs in thousa	nds)	
			FY 2006	FY 2006	
Congress'I	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006
District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request
14 Big Cypress National Preserve	5,243	5,338	93	0	5,431
20 Biscayne NP	3,434	3,531	63	0	3,594
04, 15 Canaveral NS	2,212	2,411	80	0	2,491
04 Castillo de San Marcos NM & Fort Matanzas NM	1,413	1,483	29	0	1,512
13 De Soto Natl Memorial	487	500	8	0	508
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase ¹	0	49	0	0	49
Total De Soto Natl Memorial	[487]	[549]	[8]	[0]	[557]
20 Dry Tortugas NP	1,276	1,311	38	0	1,349
14,20 Everglades NP	14,038	15,086	442	0	15,528
04 Fort Caroline Natl Memorial & Timucuan Ecol & Historic Preserve	1,775	1,824	53	0	1,877
01 Gulf Islands NS	5,939	6,105	184	0	6,289

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

¹ These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

FLORIDA

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

FLORIDA (SER) FY 2006 Proposed Program

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Type of Project
Ongoing Project
Potential New Start
Potential New Start
Potential New Start

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

Study Area	Type of Project
Biscayne NP, Stiltsville Study	Ongoing Project
Fort King	Ongoing Project

Gulf Islands NS Personal Watercraft

Management Ongoing Project
Miami Circle Ongoing Project

South Florida and Caribbean Parks

Exotic Plant Management Plan EIS Ongoing Project Virginia Key Beach Ongoing Project

LAND ACQUISITION

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Remarks</u>	<u>Funds</u>
	Transfer to Construction from previously	
Everglades NP	appropriated funds	-\$17,000

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

Park Area	Type of Project	<u>Funds</u>
Dry Tortugas NP	Preserve Fort Jefferson	\$6,618
Everglades NP	Modify Water Delivery System (new appropriation) Modify Water Delivery System (transfer from previously appropriated NPS Land Acquisition	\$8,000
Everglades NP	funds)	\$17,000

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$741

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ranking:	940
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source: Line Item Construction	

Project Identification

Project Ti	Project Title: Preserve Fort Jefferson			
Project No: 016537 Unit/Facility Name: Dry Tortugas National Park				
Region:				
Southeast				
	Congressional District: 20	State: FL		

Project Justification

FCI-Before: 0.02 FCI-Projected: 0.01 API: 27

Project Description: The purpose of this project is to continue the long-term preservation of 150-year-old Fort Jefferson, the park's primary cultural resource, in a manner that provides the greatest benefit to this National Historic Landmark. The deterioration of the exterior (scarp) walls is due in part to harsh environmenta conditions, but the most destructive force is the rust and expansion of massive iron armour blocks imbedded within each lower-level gun opening, or embrasure The 7" thick iron blocks are expanding with tremendous force, creating a systemic, catestrophic failure of the scarp on the four fronts yet to be repaired. This project will focus first on the removal of all remaining iron components in the lower level embrasures of Fronts 3, 4, and 6 as described in item 1 below. Based or contract costs and availability of funds, the next priority for this project will be to stabilize the scarp wall, from the moat to the top of the parapet wall, focusing initially on completion of Front 4 and and then on Front 5 as described in item 2 below. Project work then will include use of historically accurate or compatible materials to accomplish the following work in order of priority:

- Demolition of the lower-level embrasures, removal of the iron Totten Shutters, iron armour blocks and associated components; and reconstruction with compatible bricks, mortar, coral concrete fill, and the use of cast stone to emulate the iron blocks with a compatible non-ferrous material.
- 2. Stablization and repointing of the following by casemate section:
- o Parapet, corbled arches and blind embrasures at the top of the scarp wall.
- Stabilization of the upper level embrasures.
- o Demolition and reconstruction of failing masonry as determined on-site during the construction process.
- o Removal of all loose mortar and repointing using mortar compatible with the original historic fabric.

All workmanship is to be comparable to that achieved with the original construction, meeting both the letter and intent of the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties for Rehabilitation.

Project Need/Benefit: The scarp wall, if allowed to decline and ultimately to fail, would expose more of the the inner coral concrete to the harsh environment and to an accelerated rate of decay. If this work is not completed, portions of the structure will continue to fail and related life-safety issues will increase. This would eventually threaten the integrity of the casemates, currently in use for both park operations and for public enjoyment, and ultimately the long-term existence of the structure. The intent of this project is not only to correct areas of failed masonry, but more importantly to prevent failure, thereby averting a much higher cost of recovery. The deterioration of the Fort's embrasures and the need for treatment has been documented over the past half-century, but was addressed only with limited operational funding.

Recently, however, through a comprehensive two-year research, planning and design program, the best materials and methods for rehabilitation have been determined. Those results are being used in planning for this project and on an initial project, now underway, to rehabilitate the lower level embrasures on Front 5 If the entire project scope is implemented in this way, the life cycle for the areas treated by this project is projected to be another 150 years.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

- 80 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance 0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
- 0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement 0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
- 20 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance $\,$ 0 % Other Capital Improvement
- 0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: X Total Project Score: 940

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost	\$'s	%		Project Funding History:			
Estimate: Deferred Maintenance Work :		\$7,367,000	100	Appropriated to Date:	\$	749,000	
Capital Improvement Work:		\$0	O	Requested in FY 2006 Budget:	\$	6,618,000	
Total Project Estimate:		\$7,367,000	100	Required to Complete Project:	\$	0	
Class of Estimate: Estimate Good Until:	B 09/30/06			Project Total:	\$	7,367,000	
Dates:	Sch'd (qtr/fy)			Project Data Sheet			Unchanged Since
Construction Start/Awa	rd 1/2006			Prepared/Last Updated: 1/20/2009	5		Departmental Approv
Project Complete:	4/2007						YES: NO: X

Annual Operations Costs

7 iiiii dai operatione ecete						
Current:	Projected:	Net Change:				
\$ 543,000	\$ 543,000	\$ 0				

GEORGIA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
			FY 2006	FY 2006	
Congress'l	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006
District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request
02 Andersonville NHS	1,126	1,155	25	0	1,180
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	116	0	0	116
Total Andersonville NHS	[1,126]	[1,271]	[25]	[0]	[1,296]
00 Appalachian NST	1,024	1,054	18	0	1,072
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	83	0	0	83
Total Appalachian NST	[1,024]	[1,137]	[18]	[0]	[1,155]
05,06,09 Chattahoochee River NRA	2,763	2,839	41	0	2,880
09 Chickamauga and Chattanooga NMP	2,230	2,291	63	0	2,354
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	219	0	0	219
Total Chickamauga and Chattanooga NMP	[2,230]	[2,510]	[63]	[0]	[2,573]
01 Cumberland Island NS	1,967	2,018	34	0	2,052
01 Fort Frederica NM	699	718	21	0	739
01 Fort Pulaski NM	898	1,072	21	0	1,093
02 Jimmy Carter NHS	976	1,001	24	0	1,025
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	190	0	0	190
Total Jimmy Carter NHS	[976]	[1,191]	[24]	[0]	[1,215]
07 Kennesaw Mountain NBP	1,147	1,178	24	0	1,202
05 Martin Luther King, Jr NHS	2,747	3,811	61	0	3,872
08 Ocmulgee NM	792	814	20	0	834

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

¹ These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

GEORGIA

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

GEORGIA

Trails and Other Affilliated Areas Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)					
			FY 2006	FY 2006	_		
	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006		
Trails and Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request		
Trail of Tears NHT	296	304	0	0	304		
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase ¹	0	29	0	0	29		
Total Trail of Tears NHT	[296]	[333]	[0]	[0]	[333]		

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

GEORGIA (SER) FY 2006 Proposed Program

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Chattahoochee River NRA
Chickamauga & Chattanooga NMP
Fort Pulaski NM
Ongoing Project
Ongoing Project
Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for furtl SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

Study Area Type of Project

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$673

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

KENTUCKY

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)			
	_		FY 2006	FY 2006	
Congress'l	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006
District Park Units	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request
02 Abraham Lincoln Birthplace NHS	727	967	17	0	984
05 Big South Fork Natl River & RA	3,592	3,701	75	0	3,776
05 Cumberland Gap NHP	2,283	2,766	66	0	2,832
02 Mammoth Cave NP	5,694	5,856	164	0	6,020

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

KENTUCKY

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

KENTUCKY

Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)					
			FY 2006	FY 2006			
	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006		
Trails and Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request		
Trail of Tears NHT	296	304	0	0	304		
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase ¹	0	29	0	0	29		
Total Trail of Tears NHT	[296]	[333]	[0]	[0]	[333]		

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

KENTUCKY (SER) FY 2006 Proposed Program

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Park AreaType of ProjectAbraham Lincoln Birthplace NHSOngoing ProjectCumberland Gap NHPOngoing ProjectFort Donelson NBOngoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$617

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

LOUISIANA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)			
			FY 2006	FY 2006	
Congress'l	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006
District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request
04,05 Cane River Creole NHP	720	737	22	0	759
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	49	0	0	49
Total Cane River Creole NHP	[720]	[786]	[22]	[0]	[808]
02,03,06,07 Jean Lafitte NHP & Preserve	4,819	4,637	92	0	4,729
02 New Orleans Jazz NHP	552	566	8	0	574

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

¹ These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

LOUISIANA

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

LOUISIANA

Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

		(dolla	llars in thousands)			
			FY 2006	FY 2006		
	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006	
Trails and Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request	
Lower Mississippi Delta Technical Assistance	236	243	0	0	243	

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

LOUISIANA (SER) FY 2006 Proposed Program

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)
None

LAND ACQUISITION None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS State apportionment: \$626

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS
None

MISSISSIPPI

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

_	(dollars in thousands)				
			FY 2006	FY 2006	
Congress'I	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006
District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request
05 Gulf Islands NS	5,939	6,105	184	0	6,289
04 Natchez NHP	1,532	1,590	30	0	1,620
01,02,03,04 Natchez Trace NST	26	27	0	0	27
01,02,03,04 Natchez Trace Parkway, Brices Cross Roads, Tupelo NB ¹	9,757	9,987	221	0	10,208
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase ²	0	483	0	0	483
Total Natchez Trace Parkway, Brices Cross Roads, Tupelo NB ¹	[9,757]	[10,470]	[221]	[0]	[10,691]
02 Vicksburg NMP	2,378	2,443	122	0	2,565

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

¹ All three park units are administered jointly.

²These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

MISSISSIPPI

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

MISSISSIPPI (SER) FY 2006 Proposed Program

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)
None

LAND ACQUISITION None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

Park AreaProject TitleFundsGulf Islands NSRehabilitate Fort Pickens Water System\$971

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$577

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS None

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Rai	nking:	840
Planned Funding	FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction	

Project Identification

Project Title: Rehabilitate Fort Pickens Water System

Project No: 067330

Unit/Facility Name: Gulf Islands National Seashore

Region: Southeast Congressional District: 01

State: FL

Project Justification

FCI-Before: 0.44 FCI-Projected: 0.11 API: 28

Project Description: This project will rehabilitate and upgrade the existing substandard water system at Fort Pickens to improve the drinking water quality and reliability and to bring the water system in compliance with the drinking water regulations by improving the operation of the system and by maintaining the required chlorine residual throughout the distribution systems. Project work will include adding an additional, larger water storage tank to supplement the existing 8,000-gallon storage tank, constructing a new larger-capacity water-distribution recirculating line, replacing the old injection gas chlorinating system with a new water treatment system, installing a new backup generator and an electrical pump-control system to allow for continuous operation of the water system during power outages, and removing the old diesel generator.

Project Need/Benefit: Over 1,500,000 visitors come to Ft. Pickens every year. The water system at Fort Pickens supplies water to all the campground sites and restrooms, all outlying restrooms, historical structures, maintenance facilities and the fishing pier. The present water system cannot meet the current demand for water use. The present water distribution system is unreliable and the disinfection requirement is difficult to maintain throughout the system. In addition, the system does not comply with applicable codes and regulations. Routine inspections in 1999 and 2000 by the Florida State Rural Water Association and the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) identified deficiencies that need improvement to comply with the FDEP drinking water regulations.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

- 60 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance
- 0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance

0 % Other Capital Improvement

- 20 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement
- 20 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
- 0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance
- $0\ \%$ Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO:

Total Project Score: 840

Project Costs and Status

i roject oosts and	Otatus				
Project Cost Estim	nate:	\$'s	%	Project Funding History:	
Deferred Maintenance \	Work :	\$777,000	80	Appropriated to Date: \$	0
Capital Improvement W	/ork:	\$194,000	20	Requested in FY 2006 Budget: \$	971,000
Total Component Estim	nate:	\$971,000	100	Required to Complete Project: \$	0
Class of Estimate:	В			Project Total: \$	971,000
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/06				
Dates:	Sch'd (qtr/yy))		Project Data Sheet	Unchanged Since
Construction Start/Awa	ard 1/2006			Prepared/Last Updated: 1/20/2005	Departmental Approval:
Project Complete:	4/2006				YES: NO: X

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	Projected:	Net Change:
\$ 26,000	\$ 28,000	\$ 2,000

NORTH CAROLINA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

_	(dollars in thousands)				
			FY 2006	FY 2006	_
Congress'l	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006
District Park Units	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request
00 Appalachian NST	1,024	1,054	18	0	1,072
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	83	0	0	83
Total Appalachian NST	[1,024]	[1,137]	[18]	[0]	[1,155]
05,10,11 Blue Ridge Parkway	13,452	13,795	260	0	14,055
03 Cape Hatteras Group - Cape Hatteras NS, Fort	7,111	7,170	151	0	7,321
Raleigh NHS, Wright Brothers NMem					
03 Cape Lookout NS	1,491	1,531	41	0	1,572
11 Carl Sandburg Home NHS	929	956	26	0	982
11 Great Smoky Mountains NP	15,340	15,686	503	0	16,189
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	672	0	0	672
Total Great Smoky Mountains NP	[15,340]	[16,358]	[503]	[0]	[16,861]
06 Guilford Courthouse NMP	628	644	16	0	660
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	99	0	0	99
Total Guilford Courthouse NMP	[628]	[743]	[16]	[0]	[759]
01, 03 Moores Creek NB	409	513	10	0	523

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

¹ These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

NORTH CAROLINA

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NORTH CAROLINA

Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)					
			FY 2006	FY 2006	_		
	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006		
Trails and Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request		
Overmountain Victory NHT	163	168	3	0	171		
Trail of Tears NHT	296	304	0	0	304		
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase ¹	0	29	0	0	29		
Total Trail of Tears NHT	[296]	[333]	[0]	[0]	[333]		

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

NORTH CAROLINA (SER) FY 2006 Proposed Program

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Park AreaType of ProjectBlue Ridge ParkwayOngoing ProjectFort Raleigh NHSOngoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

Study Area Type of Study

Cape Hatteras NS, Oregon Inlet

Dredging Ongoing Study

Cape Hatteras NS, Off Road Vehicle

Plan Ongoing Study

Cape Hatteras NS, Off Road Vehicle

Plan EIS Potential New Study

Cape Lookout NS, Cape Lookout

Village EA/EIS Ongoing Study

Cape Lookout NS, Personal

Watercraft Management Ongoing Study

Great Smoky Mountains NP, Cades

Cove EIS Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$681

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

PUERTO RICO

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this commonwealth. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
			FY 2006	FY 2006		
Congress'I	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006	
District Park Units	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request	
00 San Juan NHS	2.533	2.758	95	0	2.853	

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

PUERTO RICO

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

PUERTO RICO (SER) FY 2006 Proposed Program

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information) None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)
None

LAND ACQUISITION None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS State apportionment: \$521

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS
None

SOUTH CAROLINA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
			FY 2006	FY 2006	
Congress'I	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006
District Park Units	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request
01 Charles Pinckney NHS	460	472	10	0	482
06 Congaree NP	955	1,036	19	0	1,055
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	164	0	0	164
Total Congaree NP	[955]	[1,200]	[19]	[0]	[1,219]
05 Cowpens NB	430	440	13	0	453
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	116	0	0	116
Total Cowpens NB	[430]	[556]	[13]	[0]	[569]
01 Fort Sumter NM	1,765	1,815	36	0	1,851
05 Kings Mountain NMP	680	699	22	0	721
03 Ninety Six NHS	421	430	12	0	442

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

¹ These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

SOUTH CAROLINA

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

SOUTH CAROLINA

Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)					
			FY 2006	FY 2006			
	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006		
Trails and Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request		
Overmountain Victory NHT	163	168	3	0	171		

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

SOUTH CAROLINA (SER) FY 2006 Proposed Program

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)
King's Mountain NMP Potential New Start

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

Study Area

Type of Project

None

LAND ACQUISITION None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$587

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS
None

TENNESSEE

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
			FY 2006	FY 2006	
Congress'l	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006
District Park Units	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request
01 Andrew Johnson NHS	708	728	15	0	743
00 Appalachian NST	1,024	1,054	18	0	1,072
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	83	0	0	83
Total Appalachian NST	[1,024]	[1,137]	[18]	[0]	[1,155]
04 Big South Fork Natl River & RA	3,592	3,701	75	0	3,776
03 Chickamauga and Chattanooga NMP	2,230	2,291	63	0	2,354
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	219	0	0	219
Total Chickamauga and Chattanooga NMP	[2,230]	[2,510]	[63]	[0]	[2,573]
04 Cumberland Gap NHP	2,283	2,766	66	0	2,832
08 Fort Donelson NB	1,056	1,084	29	0	1,113
01,02 Great Smoky Mountains NP	15,340	15,686	503	0	16,189
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase ¹	0	672	0	0	672
Total Great Smoky Mountains NP	[15,340]	[16,358]	[503]	[0]	[16,861]
00 Natchez Trace NST	26	26	0	0	26
04,05,07 Natchez Trace Parkway, Brices Cross Roads, Tupelo NB 2	9,757	9,987	221	0	10,208
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	483	0	0	483
Total Natchez Trace Parkway, Brices XRoads, Tupelo NB 2	[9,757]	[10,470]	[221]	[0]	[10,691]
03 Obed Wild & Scenic River	595	611	17	0	628
04 Shiloh NMP	1,581	1,622	44	0	1,666
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	89	0	0	89
Total Shiloh NMP	[1,581]	[1,711]	[44]	[0]	[1,755]
06 Stones River NB	803	970	19	0	989

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹ These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

²Only Natchez Trace Parkway is in Tennessee. All three park units are administered jointly.

TENNESSEE

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

TENNESSEE

Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)					
			FY 2006	FY 2006			
	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006		
Trails and Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request		
Overmountain Victory NHT	163	168	3	0	171		
Trail of Tears NHT	296	304	0	0	304		
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase ¹	0	29	0	0	29		
Total Trail of Tears NHT	[296]	[333]	[0]	[0]	[333]		

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

¹These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

TENNESSEE (SER) FY 2006 Proposed Program

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Park Area	Type of Project
Chickamauga & Chattanooga NMP	Ongoing Project
Cumberland Gap NHP	Ongoing Project
Fort Donelson NB	Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

Study Area **Type of Project** Big South Fork NRA, Oil and Gas

Management Plan/EIS Ongoing Study

Great Smoky Mountains NP Cades

Cove EIS Ongoing Study

Great Smoky Mountains NP Elkmont

EIS Ongoing Study Manhattan Project Sites Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$638

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

VIRGIN ISLANDS

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this territory. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
			FY 2006	FY 2006	_
Congress'l	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006
District Park Units	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request
00 Christiansted NHS & Buck Island Reef NM	1,242	1,275	20	0	1,295
00 Salt River Bay NHP & Ecol Preserve	473	486	6	0	492
00 Virgin Islands Coral Reef NM	242	249	1	0	250
00 Virgin Islands NP	4,792	4,921	80	0	5,001

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

VIRGIN ISLANDS

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

VIRGIN ISLANDS (SER) FY 2006 Proposed Program

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Park AreaType of ProjectBuck Island Reef NMOngoing Project

Salt River Bay NHP & Ecological

Preserve Ongoing Project
Virgin Islands Coral Reef NM Ongoing Project
Virgin Islands NP Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$390

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

VIRGINIA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
			FY 2006	FY 2006	
Congress'I	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006
District Park Units	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request
00 Appalachian NST	1,024	1,054	18	0	1,072
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	83	0	0	83
Total Appalachian NST	[1,024]	[1,137]	[18]	[0]	[1,155]
05 Appomattox Court House NHP	1,255	1,285	22	Ö	1,307
08 Arlington House	936	960	0	0	960
01 Assateague Island NS	3,385	3,815	73	0	3,888
05,06,09,10 Blue Ridge Parkway	13,452	13,795	260	0	14,055
05 Booker T Washington NM	692	723	15	0	738
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	74	0	0	74
Total Booker T Washington NM	[692]	[797]	[15]	[0]	[812]
10 Cedar Creek and Belle Grove NHP	223	278	2	0	280
01 Colonial NHP	5,435	5,826	167	0	5,993
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	143	0	0	143
Total Colonial NHP	[5,435]	[5,969]	[167]	[0]	[6,136]
09 Cumberland Gap NHP	2,283	2,766	66	Ö	2,832
01,07 Fredericksburg/Spotsylvania NMP	3,404	3,486	56	0	3,542
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	148	0	0	148
Total Fredericksburg/Spotsylvania NMP	[3,404]	[3,634]	[56]	[0]	[3,690]
01 George Washington Birthplace NM	1,127	1,154	36	Ö	1,190
08,10 George Washington Mem Parkway	10,095	10,339	208	0	10,547
10 Harpers Ferry NHP	5,737	5,866	141	0	6,007
03 Maggie L Walker NHS	556	570	15	0	585
10 Manassas NBP	2,326	2,374	46	0	2,420
04 Petersburg NB	2,444	2,503	80	0	2,583
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	172	0	0	172
Total Petersburg NB	[2,444]	[2,675]	[80]	[0]	[2,755]
00 Potomac Heritage NST	216	221	2	0	223
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	29	0	0	29
Total Potomac Heritage NST	[216]	[250]	[2]	[0]	[252]
01,11 Prince William Forest Park	2,731	2,784	67	0	2,851
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	233	0	0	233
Total Prince William Forest Park	[2,731]	[3,017]	[67]	[0]	[3,084]
03,07 Richmond NBP	2,487	2,545	37	Ö	2,582
00 Rock Creek Park	6,259	6,490	113	0	6,603
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	. 0	173	0	0	173
Total Rock Creek Park	[6,259]	[6,663]	[113]	[0]	[6,776]
06,07,10 Shenandoah NP	10,169	10,406	209	0	10,615
10 Wolf Trap NP	3,277	3,351	78	0	3,429
FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase 1	0	227	0	0	227
Total Wolf Trap NP	[3,277]	[3,578]	[78]	[0]	[3,656]

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management

^{&#}x27; These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to

VIRGINIA

FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

VIRGINIA

Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)					
			FY 2006	FY 2006		
	FY 2004	FY 2005	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2006	
Trails and Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Estimate	Changes	Changes	Request	
Chesapeake Bay Project Office	440	451	11	0	462	
Jamestown 2007	0	0	0	400	400	
Overmountain NHT	163	168	3	0	171	

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

VIRGINIA (NCR) FY 2006 Proposed Program

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Park AreaType of ProjectManassas National Battlefield ParkOngoing ProjectPotomac Heritage NSTOngoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

Study Area Type of Project

George Washington Memorial

Parkway Trail Extension Potential New Start

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

Park AreaRemarksFundsPrince William Forest Park19 acres\$1,175

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

Park AreaType of Project
Rehabilitate Arlington House, Outbuildings, andFundsGeorge Washington Meml PkwyGrounds\$1,251Wolf Trap NPReplace Main Gate Facility at Filene Center, Phase I\$4,285

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$664

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

VIRGINIA (NER) FY 2006 Proposed Program

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Park AreaType of ProjectAppamatox Courthouse NHPOngoing ProjectCedar Creek & Belle Grove NHPOngoing ProjectFredericksburg & Spotsylvania County

Battlefields Memorial NMP
George Washington Birthplace NM
Petersburg NB
Ongoing Project
Ongoing Project
Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

Study Area
Chesapeake Bay Sites

Type of Project
Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

Park AreaType of Project
Rehabilitate and Remodel Panorama Facility asFundsShenandoah NPVisitor / Learning Center\$4,835

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$664

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

VIRGINIA (SER) FY 2006 Proposed Program

(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)
Cumberland Gap NHP Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)
None

LAND ACQUISITION None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

Park AreaProject Title
Replace Otter Creek Bridge and CampgroundFundsBlue Ridge ParkwayServices\$804

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$664

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS
None

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ranking:	775
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source: Line Item Construction	

Project Identification

Project Tit	le: Replace Otter Creek Bridge and Campgro	ound Services	
Project No	o: 066249	Unit/Facility Name: Blue Ridge Parkway	
Region:	Congressional District: 06		State: VA
Southeast			

Project Justification

FCI-Before: 1.02 FCI-Projected: 0.45 API: 23

Project Description: This funding will be used to replace the existing Otter Creek Bridge that provides access to a major Blue Ridge Parkway campground known as Otter Creek. The project also includes the relocation of the campground kiosk, reconstruction of a stone retaining wall, and relocation of sewer, electric and water lines for the concession-operated restaurant facility.

Project Need/Benefit: Otter Creek campground has 68 campsites and is used by thousands of visitors throughout the year. It is one of two campgrounds, and the only one in Virginia, that remain open for winter visitors. This existing bridge was installed when the campground was originally constructed. The bottom of the bridge deck is approximately 4' above the creek bed. Three to four times per year, a rainfall event occurs that is significant enough to cause flooding in the area. Once the flooding starts, debris is washed downstream. Because of the design of the existing bridge, the debris is trapped under the bridge, creating a "dam". This "dam" causes the water to backup and spill over the bridge, piling debris up against the bridges guardrails. The water then is diverted around the end of the bridge causing the floodwaters to leave the natural channel. This has resulted in significant erosion that is threatening a concession facility. Damage has occurred to the outdoor patio area used by the concessionaire as an outdoor dining area.

This damage has been significant enough that the patio area is scheduled for removal in FY2001 as the erosion has undermined the area to a point that it is extremely unsafe. Until this bridge is raised it is not economically feasible to continue to repair this patio. Although no floodwaters have actually damaged the concession building itself, documentation shows that the water has reached the structure. Floodwaters have reached the campground entrance station/kiosk and have caused damage including saturation of the carpeting and interior woodwork. The diverted floodwaters also spill into a sanitary sewer manhole, flooding the sewage treatment system causing untreated wastewater to be released into Otter Creek. This is a direct threat to public health and severely impacts these trout waters and other wildlife habitat. The bridge is the only means of ingress and egress for the campground. Whenever a rainfall event is significant enough to present a threat of flooding, all visitors are required to leave the Otter Creek campground before the bridge is flooded.

Flooding threatens the life of anyone not made to evacuate and any property that is left behind. Prior to the policy of requiring evacuation, a visitor was allowed to remain in the campground. This visitor experienced life threatening medical problems and required transport to a medical facility. The flooded bridge required that this person be hand carried, by a lifesaving crew, for approximately one-mile along a power line right-of-way. The campground was not flooded at the time, only the bridge. Raising this bridge out of this creek's natural channel will eliminate the need to evacuate the campground due to floodwaters.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

- 50 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance 25 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
- 0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement 0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
- 25 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance 0 % Other Capital Improvement
- 0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: X Total Project Score: 775

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost	\$'s	%	Project Funding History:			
Estimate: Deferred Maintenance	\$804,00	100	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 0		
Work : Capital Improvement	\$	0	Requested in FY 2006 Budget:	\$ 804,000		
Work: Total Component Estimate:	\$804,00	0 100	Required to Complete Project:	\$ 0		
Class of Estimate: Estimate Good Until:	B 09/30/06		Project Total:	\$ 804,000		
Dates:	Sch'd (qtr/fy)		Project Data Sheet		Unchanged	Since
Construction Start/Awar	d 1/2006		Prepared/Last Updated: 1/20/2005		Department	al Approval:
Project Complete:	4/2006				YES: N	NO: X

Current:	Projected:	Net Change:
\$ 43,000	\$ 41,000	\$ (2,000)

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ran	nking:	850
Planned Funding	FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction	

Project Identification

Project Title: Rehabilitation of Arlington House, Outbuildings and Grounds				
Project No: 016018	Unit/Facility Name: George Washing	ton Memorial Parkway		
Region: National Capital	Congressional District: 08	State: VA		

Project Justification

FCI-Projected: 0.01 API: 30 FCI-Before: 0.06

escinded with Congressional approval to address less-than-full restoration of FY2003 fire costs.. Further review of the scope of work during design has identified he following immediate needs to be completed by combining the remaining appropriated funds with the requested funding; additional work required for long-term preservation is being deferred to a future project: install an addressable fire detection and suppression system for Arlington House, the dependencies (slave quarters), potting shed, new comfort station and mechanical bunkers; install a new climate management mechanical system for the mansion (80%) and the north lependency; rehabilitate slave quarters in north dependency; replace roof of south dependency; improve site accessibility; demolish existing comfort station within istoric area; construct new comfort station and new mechanical bunker outside the historic area; and salvage and relocate existing gas-fired boiler and pumps to ew mechanical bunker for existing forced-air heating

and underground mechanical-electrical-plumbing service to mansion and dependencies.

Project Need/Benefit: The purpose of this project is to address life and health safety hazards and the most critical preservation needs of elated historic structures and grounds. The existing fire detection system is incapable of identifying all vulnerable locations and alerting staff to a specific fire ocation in time to prevent catastrophic loss of historic fabric, so adequate fire protection will be provided for all structures on the site. Existing hazards to employees will be mitigated by upgrading electrical and communications systems sufficient to support the site's existing and future needs. Damage to artifacts an he interior of the structures caused by dust that is tracked into the mansion and inadequate climate control will be reduced by improving grounds and walkways and by upgrading mechanical systems. The historic fabric of the dependencies will be preserved by replacing a failing roof on the south dependency and ehabilitating the north dependency. The historic scene will be improved by removing the existing comfort station. Improvements to the grounds and walkways and replacement of the existing restrooms will also provide ADA-compliant facilities for employee and public use.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

- 30 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance 0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
- 30 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement 0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
- 40 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance 0 % Other Capital Improvement
- 0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: X NO:

Total Project Score: 850

Project Costs and Sta		0/						
Project Cost	\$'s	%		Project Funding History:				
Estimate:								
Deferred Maintenance		\$1,565,000	70	Appropriated to Date:	\$	984,000		
Work :								
Capital Improvement		\$70,000	30	Requested in FY 2006 Budget:	\$	1,251,000		
Work:								
Total Component		\$2,235,000	100	Planned Funding:	\$	0		
Estimate:				_				
Class of Estimate:	В			Project Total:	\$	2,235,000		
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/06							
Dates:	Sch'd (qtr/fy)			Project Data Sheet			Unchanged Since	
Construction Start/Awar	d: 3/2006			Prepared/Last Updated: 1/19/200	5		Departmental	
Project Complete:	3/2007						Approval: YES:	NO:
1							X	

Current:	\$ Projected:	Net Change:
936,000	\$ 966,000	\$ 30,000

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ranking:			
Planned Funding FY:	2006		
Funding Source: Line Item Construction			

Project Identification

Project Title: Rehabilitate and Remodel Panorama Facility as Visitor/Learning Center					
Project No: 012009 Unit/Facility Name: Shenandoah National Park					
Region:	Congressional District: 10	State: VA			
Northeast					

Project Justification

FCI-	FCI-Projected:	0.00	API:	26
Before:				
0.22				

Project Description: This project will alter the former concession-owned Panorama Building from its original function as a staurant and gift shop with concessioner housing in order to strategically centralize visitor programs and park operations a year-round facility. The existing 12,444-square-foot building will be rehabilitated and a 2-story addition will be constructed provide the following visitor and administrative facilities: a visitor information/orientation desk, a backcountry permit egistration station, space for interpretive exhibits and Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC) museum pieces, a multipurpose oom on the upper level for an orientation film and school group use during inclement weather, book sales and storage area or the Shenandoah National Park Association (SNPA), a multi-purpose education/training room on the lower level for public programs and staff training with table workspace and audio-visual capabilities, and offices, work space, and restrooms for SNPA and all of the park staff functions (visitor education, interpretation, law enforcement, fee collection, and backcountry/ vilderness coordinator).

Building alterations will include hazardous materials abatement, installation of an elevator and stairway addition for ADA compliance, installation of new energy efficient windows, repairs to the exterior of the building, and demolition and upgrading of utilities and demolition and replacement of interior walls and finishes to accommodate the new uses. The existing water nain will be replaced and upgraded to meet code requirements. A separate vehicle-storage building, funded primarily by th ire Management Program, will be constructed at the site to accommodate search and rescue, emergency medical services

Project Need/Benefit: This project will provide a year-round facility for Shenandoah National Park's nearly 1.5 million ann sitors. The Panorama Building is strategically located at the intersection of U.S. Hwy 211 and Skyline Drive and is the only park visitor facility situated on a major cross-mountain highway maintained by the state. Visitors must travel 20 miles from his park entrance before encountering a visitor center that is only open from April through November, with no visitor service currently available from December through March. A year-round visitor/learning center at this second busiest entrance to th park would increase visitor safety, knowledge and enjoyment of park opportunities, and the understanding and appreciation park natural and cultural resources necessary to discourage negative behaviors that degrade the resources. The exhibits wi include historic artifacts and will address interpretive themes that are not covered in other park facilities. The facility will provide for the indoor component of adult education programs, as well as, an orientation and staging area, lunch space, and

veather options for school programs. Creation of work space for law enforcement and SNPA staff at this location will allow he park to return housing units currently used as offices to their original purpose of providing seasonal park staff housing ar o eliminate two trailers presently used for offices. The consolidation of park staff functions will improve operational efficier and the location of the facility also makes it ideal for park and NPS meetings, training sessions, and conferences. Local ommunities and stakeholders will benefit from the increase in family recreational and educational opportunities (especially he winter months), increased tourism, and improved resource stewardship. In-kind services from the cooperating ssociation equal to operational costs of \$40,000 per year will help support visitor services. Display of CCC-era museum bjects will encourage the national Alumni of the CCC to continue their efforts to help the park tell a currently "untold story" and primary park theme of the "building of Shenandoah National Park" by the CCC in the 1930s and 1940s.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

- 0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance 40 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
- 40 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement 0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
- 0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance 0 % Other Capital Improvement
- 20 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: X Project Costs and Status

Total Project Score: 640

Project Funding History:

Project Cost Estimate: Deferred Maintenance \$1.934.000 Work: \$2.901.000 Capital Improvement

Appropriated to Date: 0 Requested in FY 2006 Budget: \$ 4.835.000 Work: \$4,835,000 Total Component Required to Complete Project: \$ 0 Estimate: \$ 4.835,000 Class of Estimate: roject Total:

Stimate Good Until: 09/30/06

Estimate Good Ontil.	0/00/00			
Dates:	Sch'd (qtr/fy)	Project Data Sheet	Unchange	d Since
Construction Start/Award		Prepared/Last Updated: 1/21/2005	Departmen	ntal Approval:
Project Complete:	1/2007		YES:	NO: X

Current:	Projected:	Net Change:
\$ 30,000	\$ 490,000	\$ 460,000

National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ran	king:	250
Planned Funding	FY:	200
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction	

Project Identification

Project T	Project Title: Replace Main Gate Facility at Filene Center						
Project No	Project No: 077438 Unit/Facility Name: Wolf Trap National Park						
Region: National Capital	Congressional District: 10		State: VA				

Project Justification

FCI-	FCI-Projected: 0.0	API: 22
Before:	•	
0.08		

Project Description: This project will replace the functionally obsolete Main Gate structure and three temporary trailers at the Filene Center in order to protect the health and safety of park visitors, volunteers, and employees and to provide enhanced visitor services and security. The Main Gate provides box office/ticketing, theater concessions, and primary restroom facilities for visitors. The temporary trailers serve as office/operational space for usher, U.S. Park Police, ticket services, and interpretive staff. Replacement structures will contain improved visitor use facilities with updated and well-ventilated restrooms; enhanced concession operations; improved facilities for law enforcement; enhanced security and communication features; and adequate office areas with accessible restrooms for employees and volunteers. This project will be constructed from late September to May over two consecutive years.

Project Need/Benefit: Wolf Trap National Park for the Performing Arts is the only national park dedicated solely to performing arts. The Filene Center is a premiere amphitheater with annual attendance of 500,000 patrons at 90-100 summer performances. Members of Congress, other high-ranking government officials, and foreign dignitaries frequently attend. Wolf Trap Foundation officials have supported this project by providing funding to begin conceptual design. The facilities to be replaced are inadequate to meet the needs of park visitors, volunteers, and Foundation and NPS employees, and do not meet current standards. The Main Gate structure is a vital perational facility that accommodates ticket sales, visitor restrooms, and concession activities. It is now too small and functionally bsolete; in poor condition; does support electrical, communication, information technology and security equipment requirements; and loes not meet ADA standards. Long lines of patrons outside the box office windows interfere with visitor flow and only one ticket rindow is accessible. Electrical service is at maximum capacity and inadequate for modern box office technology. Public restrooms do not meet user loading standards resulting in long lines before, during, and after performances and do not comply with ADA standards. Negative comments about inadequate restrooms show up repeatedly in visitor surveys. There are no fire detectior r suppression systems and prevailing winds could carry embers to the nearby amphitheater in the event of a fire. Employee vorkspace is cramped, inefficient and hazardous. Separation of ticket services from the box office requires employees to walk unprotected through the theater plaza with large amounts of cash. The three trailers have been considered "temporary" for over 20 rears and have inadequate space for their functions, especially during performance season. Two have no restroom facilities for imployees; none have fire suppression systems or meet ADA standards; and all have deteriorated to the point that the cost of repair xceeds their value. The Park Police facility has inadequate space to process suspects or to securely store weapons and evidence, and its physical distance from theater operations slows officer response to incidents. Jp to 30 usher employees use two small rooms and up to 120 volunteer ushers check in and out of a 10' x 12' room nightly during the

Up to 30 usner employees use two small rooms and up to 120 volunteer usners check in and out of a 10' x 12' room nightly during the performing season (this is one of the largest NPS volunteer programs, logging 40,970 hours in FY 2002). The second trailer serves as year-round center for Foundation ticket services employees who must walk over 125 yards to the public restrooms in all weather conditions. The third trailer serves as full-time NPS interpretive office and is over 40 years old. A small two-room, log cabin serves as the Ranger Station, the primary visitor contact and EMT facility where seven rangers and fourteen volunteers conduct dispatch and other operations during performances surrounded by visitors, first aid/EMT cases, etc. The building has little storage space for sensitive equipment and an inadequate one-person restroom, and is not special-needs accessible.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

- 5 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance 35 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
- 0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement 0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
- 0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance $\,$ 60 % Other Capital Improvement
- 0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: X NO:

Total Project Score: 250

Project Costs and Status

Project Cost	\$'s	%		Project Funding History:	
Estimate: Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$2,902,000)	40	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$4,353,000)	60	Requested in FY 2006 Budget:	\$ 4.285,000
Total Component Estimate:	\$7,255,000)	100	Required to Complete Project:	\$ 2,970,000
Class of Estimate: Estimate Good Until:	B 09/30/07			Project Total:	\$ 7,25 5,000
Dates:	Sch'd (qtr/	fy)		Project Data Sheet	Unchanged Since
Construction Start/Award	4/2006			Prepared/Last Updated: 1/19/2005	Departmental Approval: YES: NO: X
Project Complete:	3/2008				

Current:	Projected:	Net
\$ 3,267,000	\$ 3,277,000	Change: \$
		10,000

Fiscal Year 2006 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: Prince William Forest Park

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2006): Priority No. 11

Location: Near Quantico, Virginia.

<u>State/County/Congressional District</u>: Commonwealth of Virginia/Prince William County/Congressional District Nos. 10 and 11.

<u>Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining</u>: None. Legislation should be enacted to increase the limitation as needed.

<u>Cost Detail</u>: There is a need for one-time funding of \$1.9 million to realign the Park entrance for increased visitor safety. There are no out-year costs associated with this request.

Date	Acres	Total Amount (\$000)
FY 2006 Request	19	\$1,175
Future Funding Need	1,461	\$20,325

The total amount includes cost of: title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, relocation assistance.

FY 2005: no funds appropriated FY 2004: no funds appropriated FY 2003: \$0.700 million appropriated

Improvements: Minimal.

<u>Description</u>: In the Act of June 22, 1948, (Public Law 80-736), Congress designated Prince William Forest Park, and authorized land acquisition by donation or purchase. The park, consisting of reclaimed and reforested land, was conceived primarily as a wooded recreational retreat.

<u>Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal</u>: The park features numerous campgrounds, recreational and instructional swimming programs in the park's two lakes, and an extensive network of hiking trails which enable the visitor to experience the diversity of topography, plants and wildlife found within the watershed. Quantico Creek's noteworthy water quality continues to serve as a baseline for numerous research studies conducted by State and local environmental agencies as well as several universities in the region.

<u>Threat</u>: During the past 5-10 years, several residential developments have been completed in proximity to the park. Development of privately owned lands within the park would endanger the watershed and limit recreational opportunities.

Need: The requested tunds are needed to acquire two tracts totaling 19 acres that are needed to prevent development that would adversely impact the park. A 7.85-acre tract is located in the north-central portion of the park along Virginia Route 234 and provides an attractive site for development due to its location which features access to shopping and transportation. An 11.15-acre tract located on Route 234 within the upper watershed of Quantico Creek is the only commercially zoned property within the park boundary, with the exception of the few properties located in the immediate vicinity of the I-95/Route 234 interchange.

Interaction with Landowners and Partners: The owners have indicated willingness to sell these tracts. The Service has garnered support for these willing-seller acquisitions from local Congressional representatives, the Mayor of Dumfries, the Friends of Prince William Forest Park, the Piedmont Environmental Council and the Quantico Creek Watershed Committee.

<u>DOI Strategic Goal:</u> Serving communities: protect lives and property and Recreation: ensure access to appropriate recreation opportunities on DOI lands.